

SAPIENTIA EDUCATION TRUST



**OLD BUCKENHAM HIGH SCHOOL
TEACHER ASSESSED GRADES (TAG)
CENTRE POLICY**

| | |
|---|---|
| Author / Edited by | Mr A Fell |
| Date | April 2021 |
| Executive summary | This policy has been reviewed and amendments made in order to comply with JCQ ICE Regulations specific to Summer 2021 |
| Review Body | School |
| Endorsed by | Governing Body |
| Review frequency & next review due | Will be reviewed if required in subsequent years – April 2022 |
| Comments | <p>This policy is available on our school website and is available on request from the school office.</p> <p>This policy will be reviewed in full by the Governing Body on an annual basis.</p> |

Endorsed by **Old Buckenham High School** Governing Body on

Background

Every centre is required to create a Centre Policy that reflects its individual circumstances. Centres must understand and actively implement the centre policy adopted.

This policy takes account of the guidance provided in the document: *JCQ Guidance on the determination of grades for A/AS Levels and GCSEs for summer 2021*

Statement of Intent

This section outlines the purpose of this document in relation to our centre.

The purpose of this policy is:

- To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across departments.
- To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
- To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
- To support teachers to take evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
- To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.
- To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.
- To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
- To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
- To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents/carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.

Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Head of Centre

- Our Head of Centre, Andrew Fell, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.
- Our Head of Centre has overall responsibility for the school as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.
- Our Head of Centre will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
- Our Head of Centre will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.
- Our Head of Centre will ensure all relevant documentation is published on the internal Sharepoint for staff and public website for students and parents/carers.

Senior Leadership Team

Our Senior Leadership Team will:

- provide training and support to our other staff.
- support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.
- ensure an effective approach within and across departments in authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
- be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.

Heads of Department

Our heads of department and subject leaders will:

- ensure that all teachers within their department make consistent judgements about student evidence in deriving a grade.
- ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.
- produce an [Assessment Record for each subject cohort](#), that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be recorded. Records of these documents can be found [here](#).
- ensure that a **Head of Department Checklist** is completed for each qualification that they are submitting. Completed HOD checklists can be found [here](#).
- securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their subject decisions. Go4Schools mark books reflect captured data and judgements.

Teachers/ Specialist Teachers / SENCo

Our teachers, specialist teachers and SENCo will:

- ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the

Joint Council for Qualifications, to provide teacher assessed grades for each student they have entered for a qualification.

- ensure all students receive their appropriate access arrangements.

Teachers

- ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each student is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each student.
- make judgements based on what each student has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.

Examinations Officer

Our Examinations Officer will:

- be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.
- be responsible for producing assessment timetables and liaising with Learning Support Operational Manager to organise access arrangements.

Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that we will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

- Heads of department will engage with external CDP or exam board documentation to understand the assessment requirements for their subject.
- Heads of department and subject leaders will engage in discussions with other trust organisations where additional perspective or guidance is needed, such as standardisation or moderation.
- Teachers involved in determining grades in our centre will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all students.
- Teachers will engage fully with all training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisations.
- Teachers will engage with relevant literature on [bias](#) and [awarding fair grades](#)

This section provides details of our approach to training, support and guidance for newly qualified teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment.

- We will provide mentoring from experienced teachers to NQTs and teachers less familiar with assessment.
- We will put in place additional internal reviews of teacher assessed grades for NQTs and other teachers as appropriate.
- Ensure less experienced departments have robust external moderation in place.

Use of appropriate evidence

This section of our Centre Policy indicates how our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: [Guidance on grading for teachers](#).

- Teachers making judgements will have regard to the [Ofqual Head of Centre guidance](#) on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.
 1. [AQA](#)
 2. [Edexcel](#) / Pearson
 3. [OCR / Cambridge](#)
 4. [WJEC](#)
 5. [Eduqas](#)
- All candidate evidence used to determine teacher assessed grades, and associated documentation, will be retained and made available for the purposes of external quality assurance and appeals.
- We will be using a variety of evidence of student performance. This evidence base will be looked at holistically to determine an overall grade. The evidence being used by each subject, together with the links to exam board specification is documented in the department assessment plans.
- We will be using student work produced in response to assessment materials provided by our awarding organisation(s), including groups of questions, past papers or similar materials such as practice or sample papers.
- We will use non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework), even if this has not been fully completed. Incomplete work will not have a negative impact on the teacher assessed grade awarded. We will assess the level of all work undertaken as evidence for teachers assessed grades.
- We will use student work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification, that follow the same format as awarding organisation materials, and have been marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.
- We will use substantial class or homework (including work that took place during remote learning).
- We will use internal tests taken by pupils.
- We will use mock exams taken over the course of study.
- We will use records of a student's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as Music, Drama and PE.

The use of Additional Assessment Materials (AAM's) as additional evidence for portfolios

- We will use additional assessment materials to give students the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed.
- We will use additional assessment materials to give students an opportunity to show improvement, for example, to validate or replace an existing piece of evidence.
- We will use additional assessment materials to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete.
- We will combine and/or remove elements of questions where, for example, a multi-part question includes a part which focuses on an element of the specification that has not been taught.

Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.
- We will ensure that we are able to authenticate the work as the student's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school or college.
- We will consider the limitations of assessing a student's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
- We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
- We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.

Determining teacher assessed grades

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to awarding teacher assessed grades.

- Our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a student is performing, i.e., their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
- Our teachers will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias (please see previous link to bias literature from EEF).
- Our teachers will co-produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort with heads of department. Any necessary variations for individual students will also be shared. The evidence showing that exam dispensation has been followed for each Additional Assessment Materials can be found [here](#).

Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

[Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration](#)

- We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document. A copy of which can be found [here](#).
- In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that our centre carries out an internal standardisation process. Department documents can be found [here](#).
- We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:
 - Arriving at teacher assessed grades

- Marking of evidence
- Reaching a holistic grading decision
- Applying the use of grading support and documentation
- We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.
- We will ensure that the Assessment Record will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.
- Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre. External moderation activity will be carried out with Sapientia trust specialists to ensure standardisation across schools.

In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for students of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.

Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification.

- We will compile information on the grades awarded to our students in past June series in which exams took place (2017 - 2019).
- We will consider the size of our cohort from year to year.
- We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
- We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
- We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, which address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.

This section gives details of the approach we will follow if our initial teacher assessed grades for a qualification are viewed as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years.

- We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.
- We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021.

- Heads of department will record variations in cohorts (such as change of exam board or rationale for selection onto a course) using the [Department Assessment Record](#). This data will be considered if comparisons are not direct or dramatically different from previous year group cohorts.

This section gives details of changes in our cohorts that need to be reflected in our comparisons.

- We will omit subjects that we no longer offer from the historical data.

Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide students with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances in particular instances (special consideration).

- Where students have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken. Access arrangements for AAM's can be found [here](#).
- Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement, we will remove that assessment from the basket of evidence and alternative evidence obtained.
- Where illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a student's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements.
- In accordance with JCQ guidance, we will record, as part of the [Assessment Record](#), how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual students in assessments. The details of circumstances that may qualify for special consideration (variations) can be found on the [DfE website](#) and in the individual exam board guidance procedures.
- To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, we will ensure all teachers have read and understood the document: [JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020](#).

Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

- Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each student. This includes exam board material and internally generated assessments.

Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.

Senior Leaders, Heads of Department and Centre will consider:

- sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
- how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias); and
- bias in teacher assessed grades.

To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:

- unconscious bias can skew judgements;
- the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
- teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
- unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed; and

Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

- Staff are required to read Ofqual Information for centres about making objective judgements (Ofqual 21/67/68/5). A record confirming reading and understanding will kept by the exams officer to ensure compliance.

Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements to recording decisions and to retaining evidence and data.

- We will ensure that teachers and Heads of Departments maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades. This includes the department assessment record, Go4School's mark books in addition to subject departmental spreadsheets or records.
- We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each student's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.
- We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
- We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
- We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
- We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisations.

Authenticating evidence

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

Robust mechanisms will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the students' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to students to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors. These include.

- Ensuring that AAM's are securely stored before assessments.
- Ensuring that AAM's have not been previously used in classrooms.
- Ensuring that Personal learning checklists are not overly detailed in their guidance for candidates leading up to assessments.
- Ensuring that all staff have read and understood the JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020.
- Ensuring that coursework elements are compared with prior work undertaken in class to establish its authenticity.

It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations (see previous links to examining board websites) to support these determinations of authenticity.

- [AQA | 2021 exam changes](#)
[Supporting UK schools during Coronavirus \(COVID-19\) | Pearson UK](#)
[Summer 2021: Information and updates \(eduqas.co.uk\)](#)
[Everything you need to know for summer 2021 \(ocr.org.uk\)](#)
[Assessments 2021: Get the support you need \(wjec.co.uk\)](#)

Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

Confidentiality

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of all AAM assessments and final teacher assessed grades.
- All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which students' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.
- Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents/guardians.

Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021. The revised policy can be found here [OBHS Malpractice policy 2021](#). All staff involved have been made aware of these policies and have received training in them as necessary.

All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:

- breaches of internal security
- deception
- improper assistance to students
- failure to appropriately authenticate a student's work
- over direction of students in preparation for common assessments
- allegations that centres submit grades not supported by evidence that they know to be inaccurate
- centres enter students who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series
- failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages
- failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.

The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: [JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) and including the risk of a delay to students receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.

Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest.

- To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with students to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
- Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - [General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021](#).
- We will also carefully consider the need to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.

Private candidates

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to working with Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades.

- Our arrangements for assessing Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are identical to the approaches utilised for internal candidates.
- Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the [JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates](#) has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.
- In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for Private Candidates have been excluded from our analysis.

External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the [JCQ Guidance](#).
- All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- All student evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades has been retained and can be made available for review as required.
- Instances where student evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to students and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the Department Assessment Record, found [here](#).
- All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.

Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of results to students and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021.
- Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our students.
- Arrangements will be in place for the provision of all necessary advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to students on receipt of their results.
- Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
- Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
- Parents/guardians have been made aware of arrangements for results days

Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the [JCQ Guidance](#).
- Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.
- All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.
- Learners have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.
- Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.
- Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of students to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.
- Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents/carers.

Appeals process

The centres' role is limited to a procedural check that no error has been made and correct processes followed.

A Trust appeals panel made up of senior staff from other Trust schools will review appeals to determine if

1. (i) [the school] did not follow its procedure properly and consistently in arriving at that result (including any failure of quality assurance), and/or

2. (ii) [the school] made an administrative error in relation to the result,

If that does not satisfy the appellant, the centre will send the appeal to the exam board, to determine if the centre's grade represented a reasonable exercise of academic judgement against the evidence available, in line with Ofqual guidance.

If it does not, the board will determine what grade, higher or lower, would be the most reasonable grade to award, and that grade will be what the student will then get, in line with DfE, Ofqual and exam board guidance.